Jew Dr. Melissa Hillman: The White Race is Just Skin Color

tonyodarg.wordpress.com/2021/02/09/melissa-hillman-jew-slavery

Tony Odarg February 9, 2021

A blue-check on twitter who goes by the name of Dr. Melissa Hillman has recently written an entire thread on why White people don't exist and I would like to analyze it. But first, here is "Every. Single. Time" part of this story.

> My Jewish family has been in the US for SIX GENERATIONS. Longer than Trump's family. Tell me what "my country" is again, traitor?

So, a jew is trying to make White people extinct. Imagine my shock. Anyway, let's look at what she has to say but first the background. PragerU tweeted out this gem:

> Racism exists, yes. But racism is not in America's DNA.

To which the "lipstick historian" responded:

> "The peculiar institution" is literally encoded in our founding documents. Literally.

Next, someone said this in response to the lh:

> yes SLAVERY existed(as was commonplace in Africa and Asia), but it wasn't inherently racist, racism, was a byproduct of slavery, one could argue that racism is actually morally superior, as europeans were trying to justify their actions whereas, africans and asians did not

Then the "angry black lady" responded finally:

> the things y'all will say to absolve this country of one of its original sins. whew chile. you do not have to hand it to slavery. setting aside that this country perfected chattel slavery and invented breeding farms, the "sure slavery existed, but at least white people justified it by dehumanizing Black people" take is not the flex you think it is.

If you already have headache, what until we get to the big nose doctor. Couple of things here of debate strategy. Clearly the "yes slavery" person is a conservative trying to defend America, and that perhaps noble in intent. However, while the argument that White people doing slavery was better than when everyone else did slavery is historically accurate, it misses the point. Slavery and more currently racism, are seen as not simply moral quirks in the American system, but as the original sin (as angry black lady pointed out) of White people. The way to argue against people who want White people today to be blamed for everything bad that has happened to blacks or for everything White people's ancestors did is to simply say it doesn't matter. Don't try and justify it or explain it away. Just say that bad shit happened but as a White person I am not going to apologize for my ancestors, nor am I going to say my Race's right to self-determination isn't valid. Say, yes, history is full of awful stuff but my ideal is for the White Race to flourish without interference or guilt from others.

Now on to the revered doctor.

> White people: No. NO. Just– no.
>
> 1. The racialization of slavery around the African slave trade included a new kind of slavery. Racialization created a *slave class*. You were eligible for slavery or exempt from it bc of *who you were*. Slavery to this point had been abt circumstance, not identity.

The historical claim that up until the trans-Atlantic slave trade no slavery had every been about enslaving certain identity groups is patently absurd. If by circumstance she means prisoners of war, okay I suppose she has a leg to stand on. But it is not like the colonial slave owners in America were the first to pioneer the idea of racial inferiority/superiority. Those ideas go back to the most ancient of peoples.

> The idea that "white" was "a race" instead of a descriptor of skin color was invented at this time, & its only definition was "ineligible for slavery." Prior to this, "race" was used in English in lots of general ways– "the race of bishops," "the French race." "White" as a "race" was invented as a tool of racist oppression. That's why "white pride" only ever means "I hate Black ppl." It's *entirely different* than having pride in being Irish or Swedish or w/e. Those are real cultures. "White" just means "I'm in the enslaver class." If you told a French person & an English person they were the same "race" prior to the racialization of slavery, they would have been EXTREMELY offended.

This is a version of “since the line is blurry, there is no line” argument. It’s basically that because there are not hard and fast distinctions with regards to who and what constitutes a part of a race, race therefore doesn’t exist. But Hillman makes a different argument, which is the term race was used for things that were not even racial at all. But here’s the thing: race isn’t an issue in a homogeneous society. Most of Europe, while there have been petty ethnic disputes, was never really multi-racial, and so therefore there was no need to discuss the issue. But Europeans didn’t invent the concept of the White race simply to negatively differentiate themselves from their slaves. They knew they were different and there was no need to prove it. But I do agree that prior to race becoming an issue precisely due to integration, the idea of race was more what is today considered ethnicity. And that I think is the tragedy of the White Race, which is that we have for so many centuries been at war with each other that we forget our commonality as one people. This unity is the key to our opposition to our replacement. White pride and other such identarian movements in the last hundred years have been mostly negative I agree. They have not really articulated a positive vision forward. The KKK or neo-Nazis are a perfect example of this: it is basically not very bright White people lashing out against hordes of foreigners. While I obviously sympathize with their plight, we need to articulate a political program that is not simply about hating non-Whites or starting street gangs. We need to create elite institutions of intellectual and political natures in order to change the paradigm.

> The racialization of slavery also included adopting/adapting/changing all prior understanding of slavery to the WORST POSSIBLE form. There were aspects of US slavery that would have been considered cruel to the ROMANS. Racialized chattel slavery was a new level of inhumane.

I know I said before not to argue by way of justification, but I’m going to break my own rules for a second. To say that American slavery was crueler than what the Romans did is so crazy as to be mindbogglingly dumb. Slave owning colonists were interested in the economic benefit of slavery, which benefit was detrimental actually. No economist today would say that slavery was ever an economic benefit, as opposed to other forms of “labor”.

> Racism wasn’t just an “excuse” for slavery. It was a way to justify making slavery into a brand new, horrific institution that relied on the dehumanization of *all Africans & African-descended ppl*. It led to the brutal colonization of Africa. AND. It lent a new justification for the brutalization and genocide of Native ppl in the “new world.” It went from “these poor savages who have no Christianity or civilized life” to “we will give them Christianity & civilized life but they will never be as good as us & therefore we can do whatever we like to them forever.” Yes, tribal “in groups” & “out groups” always existed. But not like the racialization of slavery & colonialism.

Sure, the colonists justified slavery because they believed Africans to be inferior to White people. And they were correct, but slavery and emancipation led to integration which led to our problem today, where I have to example this to jews instead of living in a homogenous society. Regarding the Indians, if you want to talk about unparallel brutality, look no further than those savage redskins. The Indians were cannibals who did human sacrifice, lived in their own feces, swapped wives on the regular, had no concept of a god, running water or the wheel, killed each other without mercy and tortured European missionaries trying to peacefully

convert them. Hillman's admission that tribal in/out-groups always existed is perhaps the funniest part. If something always existed, that proves it to be inevitable. So, why is the jewish project to integrate the whole world and then cry bigotry when it surprise, surprise doesn't work out?

> There is *no defense* of racism. NOTHING about slavery was good for Black ppl. EVERYTHING abt slavery was abt brutalizing Black bodies for white financial gain. "Brutalizing" doesn't even begin to cover it. Read some firsthand accounts from ppl who escaped enslavement. White ppl, we REALLY need to stop making excuses for our racism & start dismantling white supremacy– including the concept of whiteness, which was made as a tool of the most extreme type of racism the world has ever seen.

There is no defense of White guilt. Nothing about integration was good for White people. Everything about racism is about erasing the White race for jewish supremacist gain. Genocide doesn't even begin to cover it. Read some firsthand accounts from White people who have been the victims of non-White violence. Non-Whites really need to stop blaming White people for their inferiority and start to repatriate themselves back to their homelands- including jews, who have been the single greatest enemy of the White race and throughout history the greatest threat to our flourishing.

Links

Thread: https://twitter.com/bittergertrude/status/1357776025862631428
Archive: https://archive.vn/mf6hV

Jew: https://twitter.com/bittergertrude/status/1306404453763878912
Archive: https://archive.vn/Fauh2

Angry black lady: https://twitter.com/AngryBlackLady/status/1357773079154368513
Archive: https://archive.vn/y0Wuc

Prageru: https://twitter.com/prageru/status/1357366304462708736
Archive: https://archive.vn/Fqd8O

Lipstick historian: https://twitter.com/TheLadyAye/status/1357767596171530242
Archive: https://archive.vn/fMTJs

Stupid response: https://twitter.com/MrTruthForTheF1/status/1357772119107457025
Archive: https://archive.vn/W0ZwY